

Maternity incentive scheme - Guidance

Trust Name	East Kent Hosp	oitals University NHS Foundation Trust
Trust Code	T491	

This document must be used to complete your trust self-certification for the maternity incentive scheme safety actions and a completed action plan must be submitted for actions which have not been met. Please select your trust name from the drop down menu above. Your trust name will populate each tab. If the trust name box is coloured pink please update

Guidance Tab - This has useful information to support you to complete the maternity incentive scheme safety actions excel spreadsheet. Please read the guidance carefully.

The Board declaration form must not include any narrative, commentary, or supporting documents. Evidence should be provided to the Trust Board only, and will not be reviewed by NHS Resolution, unless requested.

There are multiple additional tabs within this document:

Tab A - safety actions entry sheets (1 to 10) - Please select 'Yes', 'No' or 'N/A' to demonstrate compliance as detailed within the condition of the scheme with each maternity incentive scheme safety action. Note, 'N/A' (not applicable) is available only for set questions. The information which has been populated in this tab, will automatically populate onto tab D which is the board declaration form.

Tab B - action plan summary sheet - This will provide you information on your Trust's progress in completing the board declaration form and will outline on how many Yes/No/N/A and unfilled assessments you have. This will feed into the board declaration sheet - tab D.

Tab C - action plan entry sheet - This sheet will enable your Trust to insert action plan details for any safety actions not achieved.

Tab D - Board declaration form - This is where you can track your overall progress against compliance with the maternity incentive scheme safety actions. This sheet will be protected and fields cannot be altered manually. If there are anomalies with the data entered, then comments will appear in the validations column (column I) this will support you in checking and verifying data before it is discussed with the trust board, commissioners and before submission to NHS Resolution.

Upon completion of the following processes please add an electronic signature into the three allocated spaces within this document: one signature to declare compliance stated in the board declaration form with the safety actions and their sub-requirements, one signature to confirm that the maternity incentive scheme evidence have been discussed with commissioners and a third signature to declare that there are no external or internal reports covering either 2020/21 financial year or the previous financial year (2019/20) that relate to the provision of maternity services that may subsequently provide conflicting information to your Trust's declaration. Any such reports should be brought to the MIS team's attention before 22 July 2021.

Any queries regarding the maternity incentive scheme and or action plans should be directed to **MIS@resolution.nhs.uk** Technical guidance and frequently asked questions can be accessed here:

https://resolution.nhs.uk/services/claims-management/clinical-schemes/clinical-negligence-scheme-for-trusts/maternity-incentive-scheme/

Submissions for the maternity incentive scheme must be received no later than 12 noon on **Thursday 22 July 2021** to MIS@resolution.nhs.uk You are required to submit this document signed and dated. Please do not send evidence to NHS Resolution.

Version Name: MIS_SafetyAction_2021_Revised_V3

Safety action No. 1
Are you using the National Perinatal Mortality Review Tool to review and report perinatal deaths to the required standard?

Requirements number	Safety action requirements	Requirement met? (Yes/ No /Not applicable)
1	Were all perinatal deaths eligible notified to MBRRACE-UK from the 11 January 2021 onwards to MBRRACE-UK within 7 working days and the surveillance information where required completed within four months of each death?	No
2	Has a review using the Perinatal Mortality Review Tool (PMRT) of 95% of all deaths of babies, suitable for review using the PMRT, from 20 December 2019 to 15 March 2021 been started before 15 July 2021?	Yes
3	Were at least 50% of all deaths of babies (suitable for review using the PMRT) who were born and died in your Trust, including home births, from 20 December 2019 to 15 March 2021 reviewed using the PMRT, by a multidisciplinary review team? Each review will have been completed to the point that at least a PMRT draft report has been generated by the tool before 15 July 2021.	Yes
4	For 95% of all deaths of babies who were born and died in your Trust from Friday 20 December 2019, were parents told that a review of their baby's death will take place? This includes any home births where care was provided by your Trust staff and the baby died.	Yes
5	For 95% of all deaths of babies who were born and died in your Trust from Friday 20 December 2019, were parents' perspectives, questions and any concerns they have about their care and that of their baby sought? This includes any home births where care was provided by your Trust staff and the baby died.	Yes
6	If delays in completing reviews were anticipated, were parents advised of this and were they given a timetable for likely completion?	Yes
7	Have you submitted quarterly reports to the Trust Board from 1 October 2020 onwards? This must include details of all deaths reviewed and consequent action plans.	Yes
8	Were the quarterly reports discussed with the Trust maternity safety champion from 1 October 2020 onwards?	Yes

Safety action No. 2 Are you submitting data to the Maternity Services Data Set to the required standard?

Requirements number		Requirement met? (Yes/ No /Not applicable)
1	Were your Trust compliant with all 12 criteria in either the December 2020 or the January 2021's submission?	Yes
2	Has the Trust Board confirmed that they have fully conformed with the MSDSv2 Information Standards Notice, DCB1513 And 10/2018, which was expected for April 2019 data, or that a locally funded plan is in place to do this, and agreed with the maternity safety champion and the LMS. This should include submission of the relevant clinical coding in MSDSv2 in SNOMED-CT.	Yes

Safety action No. 3
Can you demonstrate that you have transitional care services to support the Avoiding Term Admissions Into Neonatal units Programme?

number	Safety action requirements	Requirement met? (Yes/ No /Not applicable)
Please note stand	dard a), b) and c) of safety action 3 have now been removed.	
,	nmissioner returns on request for Healthcare Resource Groups (HRG) 4/XA04 activity as per Neonatal Critical Care M rersion 2 have been shared, on request, with the Operational Delivery Network (ODN) and commissioner to inform a fulloping TC.	
1	Commissioner returns for Healthcare Resource Groups (HRG) 4/XA04 activity as per Neonatal Critical Care Minimum Data Set (NCCMDS) version 2 have been shared, on request, with the Operational Delivery Network (ODN) and commissioner to inform a future regional approach to developing TC. Is this in place?	N/A
is undertaken to i closures or redu changes to pare staff redeploymen		1 August 2020)
2	Has a review of term admissions to the neonatal unit and to TC during the COVID period (Sunday 1 March 2020 – Monday 31 August 2020) been undertaken and completed by 26 February 2021 to identify the impact of: • closures or reduced capacity of TC • changes to parental access • staff redeployment • changes to postnatal visits leading to an increase in admissions including those for jaundice, weight loss and poor feeding	Yes
•	address local findings from Avoiding Term Admissions Into Neonatal units (ATAIN) reviews, including those identified s in point e) above has been agreed with the maternity and neonatal safety champions and Board level champion.	through the
3	Do you have evidence of the following An audit trail is available which provides evidence and rationale for developing the agreed action plan to address local findings from ATAIN reviews. Evidence of an action plan to address identified and modifiable factors for admission to transitional care. Evidence that the action plan has been revised in the light of learning from term admissions during Covid-19. Where no changes have been made, the rationale should be clearly stated. Evidence that the action plan has been shared and agreed with the neonatal, maternity safety champion and Board level champion.	Yes
Progress with the	revised ATAIN action plan has been shared with the maternity, neonatal and Board level safety champions.	
4	Has the ATAIN action plan been revised in the light of learning from term admissions during Covid-19 and has it been shared and agreed with the neonatal, maternity and Board level champions, with progress on Covid-19 related requirements monitored monthly by the neonatal and board safety champions from January 2021?	Yes
5	Has the progress with the Covid-19 related requirements been shared and monitored monthly with the neonatal and maternity safety champion?	Yes
6	Has the progress on Covid-19 related requirements been monitored monthly by the board safety champions from January 2021?	Yes

Safety action No. 4 Can you demonstrate an effective system of clinical workforce planning to the required standard?

Requirements number	Safety action requirements	Requirement met? (Yes/ No /Not applicable)
Please note that	the standards related to the obstetric workforce have been removed.	
1	Anaesthetic medical workforce Have your Trust Board minuted formally the proportion of ACSA standards 1.7.2.5, 1.7.2.1 and 1.7.2.6 that are met?	Yes
2	If your Trust did not meet these standards, has an action plan been produced (ratified by the Board) stating how the Trust is working to meet the standards?	N/A
3	Neonatal medical workforce Does the neonatal unit meet the British Association of Perinatal Medicine (BAPM) national standards of junior medical staffing?	Yes
4	If your Trust did not meet the standards outlined in requirement no.3, has an action plan been produced (signed off by the Board) stating how the Trust is working to meet the standards?	N/A
5	Neonatal nursing workforce Does the neonatal unit meet the service specification for neonatal nursing standards?	No
6	If your Trust did not meet the standards outlined in requirement no.5, has an action plan been produced (signed off by the Board) and shared with the RCN, stating how the Trust is working to meet the standards?	Yes

Safety action No. 5 Can you demonstrate an effective system of midwifery workforce planning to the required standard?

Requirements number	Safety action requirements	Requirement met? (Yes/ No /Not applicable)
1	Has a systematic, evidence-based process to calculate midwifery staffing establishment been completed?	Yes
2	Has your review included the percentage of specialist midwives employed and mitigation to cover any inconsistencies?	Yes
3	Has an action plan been completed to address the findings from the full audit or table-top exercise of BirthRate+ or equivalent been completed, where deficits in staffing levels have been identified?	Yes
4	Do you have evidence that the Maternity Services detailed progress against the action plan to demonstrate an increase in staffing levels and any mitigation to cover any shortfalls?	Yes
5	Do you have evidence from an acuity tool (may be locally developed), local audit, and/or local dashboard figures demonstrating 100% compliance with supernumerary labour ward co-ordinator status in the scheme reporting period? This must include mitigations to cover shortfalls.	No
6	If trust did not meet this standard, has an action plan been produced detailing how the maternity service intends to achieve 100% supernumerary status for the labour ward coordinator which has been signed off by the Trust Board, and includes a timeline for when this will be achieved?"	Yes
7	Do you have evidence from an acuity tool (may be locally developed), local audit, and/or local dashboard figures demonstrating 100% compliance with 1:1 care in labour in the scheme reporting period? This must include mitigations to cover shortfalls.	No
8	If trust did not meet this standard, has an action plan been produced detailing how the maternity service intends to achieve 100% compliance with 1:1 care in labour has been signed off by the Trust Board, and includes a timeline for when this will be achieved?"	Yes
9	Do you have evidence that a review has been undertaken regarding COVID-19 and possible impact on staffing levels to include: - Was the staffing level affected by the changes to the organisation to deal with COVID? - How has the organisation prepared for sudden staff shortages in terms of demand, capacity and capability during the pandemic and for any future waves?	Yes
10	Has a midwifery staffing oversight report that covers staffing/safety issues been submitted to the Board at least once every 12 months within the scheme reporting period?	Yes

Safety action No. 6

Can you demonstrate compliance with all four elements of the Saving Babies' Lives V2?

Requirements number	Safety action requirements	Requirement met? (Yes/ No /Not applicable)
1	Do you have evidence of Trust Board level consideration of how the Trust is complying with the Saving Babies' Lives Care Bundle Version 2 (SBLCBv2), published in April 2019?	Yes
2	Has each element of the SBLCBv2 been implemented? Trusts can implement an alternative intervention to deliver an element of the care bundle if it has been agreed with their commissioner (CCG). It is important that specific variations from the pathways described within SBLCBv2 are	Yes
3	also agreed as acceptable clinical practice by the Clinical Network. The quarterly care bundle survey must be completed until the provider Trust has fully implemented the SBLCBv2 including the data submission requirements. The survey will be distributed by the Clinical Networks and should be completed and returned to the Clinical Network or directly to England.maternitytransformation@nhs.net. Have you completed and submitted this?	Yes
Standard a) Rec the providers' M	Reducing smoking in pregnancy cording of carbon monoxide reading for each pregnant woman on Maternity Information System (MIS) and inclusion of the aternity Services Data Set (MSDS) submission to NHS Digital. If CO monitoring remains paused due to Covid-19, the precentage of women asked whether they smoke at booking and at 36 weeks.	
4 5	Has standard a) been successfully implemented (80% compliance or more)? If the process metric scores are less than 95% for Element 1 standard A , has an action plan for achieving >95%	Yes N/A
	been completed?	N/A
	centage of women where Carbon Monoxide (CO) measurement at booking is recorded.	V
7	Has standard b) been successfully implemented (80% compliance or more)? If the process metric scores are less than 95% for element 1 standard b) , has an action plan for achieving >95% been completed?	Yes N/A
Standard c) Peri 8	centage of women where CO measurement at 36 weeks is recorded. Has standard c) been successfully implemented (80% compliance or more)?	Yes
9	If the process metric scores are less than 95% for element 1 standard c) , has an action plan for achieving >95% been completed?	N/A
ELEMENT 2 - F	Risk assessment, prevention and surveillance of pregnancies at risk of fetal growth restriction	
	centage of pregnancies where a risk status for fetal growth restriction (FGR) is identified and recorded at booking.	
10	Has standard a) been successfully implemented (80% compliance or more)?	Yes
11	If the process metric scores are less than 95% for element 2 standard a) , has an action plan for achieving >95% been completed?	N/A
Do you have ev	ridence that the Trust Board has specifically confirm that all the following 3 standards are in place within their	organisation:
12	1) women with a BMI>35 kg/m2 are offered ultrasound assessment of growth from 32 weeks' gestation onwards (or an alternative intervention that has been agreed with the CCG and that the trust's Clinical Network)	Yes
13	2) in pregnancies identified as high risk at booking uterine artery Doppler flow velocimetry is performed by 24 completed weeks gestation (or an alternative intervention that has been agreed with the CCG and that the trust's Clinical Network)	Yes
14	3) There is a quarterly audit of the percentage of babies born <3rd centile >37+6 weeks' gestation	Yes
15	If your Trust have elected to follow Appendix G due to staff shortages related to the COVID pandemic, has Trust Board evidenced that they have followed the escalation guidance for the short term management of staff?	Yes
16	If the above is not the case, has your Trust Board described the alternative intervention that has been agreed with their commissioner (CCG) and that their Clinical Network has agreed that it is acceptable clinical practice?	N/A
17	If your Trust have elected to follow Appendix G due to staff shortages related to the COVID pandemic, has Trust Board confirmed that the Maternity Services are following the modified pathway for women with a BMI>35 kg/m2?	Yes
18	If Trusts have elected to follow Appendix G due to staff shortages related to the Covid-19 pandemic Trust Boards should evidence they have followed the escalation guidance for the short term management of staff (https://www.england.nhs.uk/publication/saving-babies-lives-care-bundle-version-2-Covid-19-information/). They should also specifically confirm that they are following the modified pathway for women with a BMI>35 kg/m2. If this is not the case, has your Trust Board described the alternative intervention that has been agreed with their commissioner (CCG) and that their Clinical Network has agreed that it is acceptable clinical practice?	Yes
	ising awareness of reduced fetal movement	
Standard a) Per 19	centage of women booked for antenatal care who had received leaflet/information by 28+0 weeks of pregnancy. Has standard a) been successfully implemented (80% compliance or more)?	Yes

		Taura .
20	If the process metric scores are less than 95% for element 3 standard a), has an action plan for achieving >95% been completed?	N/A
Standard h)	Percentage of women who attend with RFM who have a computerised CTG	
21	has standard b) been successfully implemented (80% compliance or more)?	No
22	If the process metric scores are less than 95% for element 3 standard b) , has an action plan for achieving >95%	Yes
	been completed?	
	Effective fetal monitoring during labour	
	Percentage of staff who have received training on fetal monitoring in labour in line with the requirements of Safety Action of auscultation, electronic fetal monitoring, human factors and situational awareness.	eignt, including:
23	Has the Trust Board minuted in their meeting records a written commitment to facilitate local, in-person, fetal monitoring training when this is permitted?	Yes
24	Can you evidence that 90% of all staff groups have complete the fetal monitoring competency assessment as outlined in the technical guidance?	No
25	If the process metric scores are less than 90% for Element 4 standard a), has the trust identify shortfall in reaching the 90% and commit to addressing those?	Yes
Standard b)	Percentage of staff who have successfully completed mandatory annual competency assessment.	
26	Have training resources been made available to the multi-professional team members?	Yes
27	Can you evidence that 90% of all staff groups have complete the fetal monitoring competency assessment as outlined in the technical guidance?	No
28	If the process metric scores are less than 90% for Element 4 standard b) , has the trust board identify shortfall in reaching the 90% and commit to addressing those when this is permitted?	Yes
ELEMENT :	5 Reducing preterm births	•
	Percentage of singleton live births (less than 34+0 weeks) receiving a full course of antenatal corticosteroids, within sever	davs of birth
,		,
29	Has standard a) been audited?	Yes
	Completion of the audit for element 5 standards A should be used to confirm successful implementation.	
30	If the process metric scores are less than 85% for Element 5 standard a) , has an action plan for achieving >85% been completed?	Yes
Standard b)	Percentage of singleton live births (less than 30+0 weeks) receiving magnesium sulphate within 24 hours prior birth.	
31	Has standard b) been audited?	Yes
	Completion of the audits for element 5 standards B should be used to confirm successful implementation.	
32	If the process metric scores are less than 85% for Element 5 standard b) , has an action plan for achieving >85% been completed?	Yes
Standard c)	Percentage of women who give birth in an appropriate care setting for gestation (in accordance with local ODN guidance)	
33	Has standard c) been audited?	Yes
24	Completion of the audits for element 5 standards C should be used to confirm successful implementation.	NI/A
34	If the process metric scores are less than 85% for Element 5 standard c), has an action plan for achieving >85% been completed?	N/A
35	Do you have evidence that the Trust Board has specifically confirmed that:	Yes
	 women at high risk of pre-term birth have access to a specialist preterm birth clinic where transvaginal ultrasound to assess cervical length is provided. If this is not the case the board should describe the alternative intervention that has been agreed with their commissioner (CCG) and that their Clinical Network has agreed is acceptable clinical practice. 	
	 an audit has been completed to measure the percentage of singleton live births occurring more than seven days after completion of their first course of antenatal corticosteroids. 	

Safety action No. 7 Can you demonstrate that you have a patient feedback mechanism for maternity services and that you regularly act on feedback?

Requirements number	Safety action requirements	Requirement met? (Yes/ No /Not applicable)
1	Do you have Terms of Reference for your Maternity Voices Partnership group meeting?	Yes
2	Are minutes of Maternity Voices Partnership meetings demonstrating explicitly how feedback is obtained and the	Yes
	consistent involvement of Trust staff in coproducing service developments based on this feedback?	
3	Do you have evidence of service developments resulting from coproduction with service users?	Yes
4	Do you have a written confirmation from the service user chair that they are being remunerated for their work and	Yes
	that they and other service user members of the Committee are able to claim out of pocket expenses?	
5	Do you have evidence that the MVP is prioritising the voice of woman from Black Asian and Minority Ethnic	Yes
	backgrounds and women living in areas with high levels of deprivation as a result of UKOSS 2020 coronavirus data?	

Safety action No. 8

Can you evidence that the maternity unit staff groups have attended as a minimum an half day 'in-house' multi-professional maternity emergencies training session, which can be provided digitally or remotely, since the launch of MIS year three in December 2019?

Requirements number	Safety action requirements	Requirement met? (Yes/ No /Not applicable)
	SSIONAL MATERNITY EMERGENCY TRAINING, including Covid-19 specific training, including maternal critical	l care training
	Ith & safeguarding concerns training	
	ar we have removed the threshold of 90% for this year. This applies to all safety action 8 requirements. We recommer tfall in reaching the 90% threshold and commit to addressing this as soon as possible.	d that trusts
Can you confirm	that:	
	c e-learning training has been made available to the multi-professional team members listed below:	
1	Obstetric consultants	Yes
2	All other obstetric doctors (including staff grade doctors, obstetric trainees (ST1-7), sub speciality trainees, obstetric clinical fellows and foundation year doctors contributing to the obstetric rota	Yes
3	Midwives (including midwifery managers and matrons, community midwives; birth centre midwives (working in colocated and standalone birth centres and bank/agency midwives)	Yes
4	Maternity support workers and health care assistants (to be included in the maternity skill drills as a minimum)	Yes
5	Obstetric anaesthetic consultants	Yes
6	All other obstetric anaesthetic doctors (staff grades and anaesthetic trainees) contributing to the obstetric rota	Yes
7	Maternity critical care staff (including operating department practitioners, anaesthetic nurse practitioners, recovery and high dependency unit nurses providing care on the maternity unit)	Yes
8	Can you evidence that 90% of all staff groups in line 1-7 above have attended the the multi-professional training outlined in the technical guidance?	No
9	If the trust has identify any shortfall in reaching the 90% threshold described above in requirement no.8, can you evidence that there is a commitment by the trust board to facilitate multi-professional training sessions when this is permitted?	Yes
Can you evidend infant have atter 2019:	SUSCITATION TRAINING se that the following staff groups involved in immediate resuscitation of the newborn and management of the deteriorated ded your in-house neonatal resuscitation training or Newborn Life Support (NLS) course since launch of MIS year three	e in December
10	Neonatal Consultants or Paediatric consultants covering neonatal units	Yes
11 12	Neonatal junior doctors (who attend any deliveries) Neonatal nurses (Band 5 and above)	Yes Yes
13	Advanced Neonatal Nurse Practitioner (ANNP)	Yes
14	Midwives (including midwifery managers and matrons, community midwives, birth centre midwives (working in co-	Yes
117	located and standalone birth centres and bank/agency midwives) Maternity theatre midwives who also work outside of theatres	165
15	Can you evidence that 90% of all staff groups in line 10-14 above have attended the the neonatal resuscitation training as outlined in the technical guidance?	No
16	If the trust has identify any shortfall in reaching the 90% threshold described above in requirement no.15, can you evidence that there is a commitment by the trust board to facilitate multi-professional training sessions once when this is permitted?	Yes

Safety action No. 9

Can you demonstrate that the Trust safety champions (obstetric, midwifery and neonatal) are meeting bi-monthly with Board level champions to escalate locally identified issues?

Requirements number	Safety action requirements	Requirement met? (Yes/ No /Not applicable)
1	Has a pathway been developed that describes how frontline midwifery, neonatal, obstetric and Board safety champions, share safety intelligence between each other, the Trust Board, the LMS and MatNeoSIP Patient Safety Networks?	Yes
2	Do you have evidence that the written pathway is in place, visible to staff and meeting the requirements detailed in part a) and b) of the action is in place by Friday 28 February 2020?	Yes
3	Do you have evidence that a clear description of the pathway and names of safety champions are visible to maternity and neonatal staff?	Yes
4	Were monthly feedback sessions for staff undertaken by the Board Level safety champions in January 2020 and February 2020?	No
5	Were feedback sessions for staff undertaken by the Board Level safety champions every other month from 30 November 2020 going forward?	Yes
6	Do you have a safety dashboard or equivalent, visible to both maternity and neonatal staff which reflects action and progress made on identified concerns raised by staff and service users? This must include concerns relating to the Covid-19 pandemic.	Yes
7	Is the progress with actioning named concerns from staff workarounds visible from no later than 26 February 2021?	Yes
8	Has the CoC action plan been agreed by 26/02/2021 and progress in meeting the revised CoC action plan is overseen by the Trust Board on a minimum of a quarterly basis commencing January 2021?	Yes
9	Has the Board level safety champion reviewed the continuity of carer action plan in the light of Covid-19, taking into account the increased risk facing women from Black, Asian and minority ethnic backgrounds and the most deprived areas? The revised action plan must describe how the maternity service will resume or continue working towards a minimum of 35% of women being placed onto a continuity of carer pathway, prioritising women from the most vulnerable groups they serve.	Yes
	eir frontline safety champions, has the Board safety champion has reviewed local mortality and morbidity cases has be an, drawing on insights from the two named reports and the letter has been agreed	en undertaken
10	I) Maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality rates including a focus on women who delayed or did not access healthcare in the light of COVID-19, drawing on resources and guidance to understand and address factors which lied to these outcomes by Monday 30 November 2020?	Yes
11	II) The UKOSS report on Characteristics and outcomes of pregnant women admitted to hospital with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection in UK.	Yes
12	III) The MBRRACE-UK SARS-COVID19 report	Yes
13	IV) The letter regarding targeted perinatal support for Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic groups	Yes
14	Together with their frontline safety champions, has the Board safety champion considered the recommendations and requirements of II, III and IV on I by Monday 30 November 2020?	Yes
Do you have evi	dence that the Board Level Safety Champions actively supporting capacity (and capability), building for all staff to be actives:	ctively involved
15	work with Patient Safety Networks, local maternity systems, clinical networks, commissioners and others on Covid- 19 and non Covid-19 related challenges and safety concerns, ensuring learning and intelligence is actively shared across systems	Yes
16	utilise SCORE safety culture survey results to inform the Trust quality improvement plan	Yes
17	Attendance or representation at a minimum of two engagement events such as Patient Safety Network meetings, MatNeoSIP webinars and/or the annual national learning event held in March 2020 by 30 June 2021	Yes

Safety action No. 10 Have you reported 100% of qualifying incidents under NHS Resolution's Early Notification scheme?

Requirements number	Safety action requirements	Requirement met? (Yes/ No /Not applicable)
1	Have all outstanding qualifying cases for 2019/2020 been reported to NHS Resolution EN scheme?	Yes
2	Have all qualifying cases for 2020/21 been reported to Healthcare Safety Investigation Branch (HSIB)?	Yes
3	For cases which have occurred from 1 October 2020 to 31 March 2021 the Trust Board are assured that: 1. the family have received information on the role of HSIB and EN scheme: and 2. there has been compliance with Regulation 20 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2014 in respect of the duty of candour.	Yes
4	Have the Trust Board had sight of Trust legal services and maternity clinical governance records of qualifying Early Notification incidents and numbers reported to NHS Resolution Early Notification team?	Yes



Section A: Maternity safety actions - East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust

Action No.	Maternity safety action	Action met? (Y/N)	Met	Not Met	Not filled in
1	Are you using the National Perinatal Mortality Review Tool to review and report perinatal deaths to the required standard?	No	7	1	0
2	Are you submitting data to the Maternity Services Data Set to the required standard?	Yes	2	0	0
3	Can you demonstrate that you have transitional care services to support the Avoiding Term Admissions Into Neonatal units Programme?	Yes	6	0	0
4	Can you demonstrate an effective system of clinical workforce planning to the required standard?	Yes	3	0	0
5	Can you demonstrate an effective system of midwifery workforce planning to the required standard?	Yes	8	0	0
6	Can you demonstrate compliance with all four elements of the Saving Babies' Lives V2?	No	32	1	0
7	Can you demonstrate that you have a patient feedback mechanism for maternity services and that you regularly act on feedback?	Yes	5	0	0
8	Can you evidence that the maternity unit staff groups have attended as a minimum an half day 'in-house' multi- professional maternity emergencies training session, which can be provided digitally or remotely, since the launch of MIS year three in December 2019?	Yes	14	0	0
9	Can you demonstrate that the Trust safety champions (obstetric, midwifery and neonatal) are meeting bimonthly with Board level champions to escalate locally identified issues?	No	16	1	0
10	Have you reported 100% of qualifying incidents under NHS Resolution's Early Notification scheme? a) Reporting of all outstanding qualifying cases to NHS Resolution EN scheme for 2019/2020 b) Reporting of all qualifying cases to Healthcare Safety Investigation Branch (HSIB) for 2020/21	Yes	4	0	0



Section B : Action plan details for East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust

An action plan should be completed for each safety action that has not been met

Action plan 1								
Safety action	Q1 NPMRT	To be met by	Q2 2021/22					
Work to meet action								
Does this action plan have executive	ve level sign off	Yes Action plan	agreed by head of midwifery/clinical director? Yes					
Action plan owner	Director of Midwifery							
Lead executive director	Board Safety Champion/ Chief Medica	al Officer						
Amount requested from the incenti	ive fund, if required		£0.00					
Reason for not meeting action	since 1 January 2021 where the surve information from external sources was that the review should be closed down timeframe on the system. However the	eillance information was not completed is required and so the review could not in in and then re-opened at the point furth is did not happen and the review was le	Ind completing PMRT reviews. We have now identified three cases within the four month period. In every case this was as further be fully concluded. In this instance the CNST guidance advises er information is made available in order to meet the four month eft open pending the further information. All of these incidents ext Quarterly reporting period. These 3 reports are now all					

Rationale	Strengthened governance and escalation processes will enable us to identify and mitigate risks before they become issues.							
Benefits	Keeping to timeframes enables earlie women and famillies.	Keeping to timeframes enables earlier action and learning from cases. This also means that cases are closed sooner which is important for women and famillies.						
Risk assessment		Women and families are waiting longer for answers. Not reporting appropriately into the national tool to facilitate learning at a system level.						
	How?	Who?	When?					
Monitoring	Review of Case List Summary with wider multi-disciplinary team to ensure timely review of cases and early escalation of risk	Obstetric and Midwifery Governance Leads	Monthly Perinatal Meetings and Governance Meetings					

Action plan 2								
Safety action	Q6 SBL care bundle	To be met by	Q3 202	21/22				
Work to meet action	 Dawes Redman computerised CTG was rolled out on the 14/7/2021 and auditing of 20 sets notes for each site concluded 100% compliance at the William Harvey Hospital (WHH) and 95% compliance at the Queen Elizabeth Queen Mother (QEQM) Hospital. As this new process is in its infancy, it is felt a further period of embedding is required to more accurately assess compliance. The audit will therefore be repreated in three months time. Data to be captured via the Maternity Information System and reported on the Maternity Dashboard 							
Does this action plan have executive	e level sign off	Yes	Action plan agreed by head	of midwifery/clinical director?				
Action plan owner	Fetal Wellbeing Midwife and Obstetric	c Leads						
Lead executive director	Board Safety Champion/ Chief Medic	al Officer						
Amount requested from the incentiv	e fund, if required			£0.00				
Reason for not meeting action		sufficient to safely procee		f the Covid 2019 pandemic. This went live on the g is required before we can reliably state				
Rationale	Further period of embedding with furth		ssess compliance and ensure	sustainability				
Benefits	 Enhanced monitoring and escalation Achieving element 2 of the Saving E 			o meet nationally approved standard				
Risk assessment	Not able to accurately risk assess women attending with reduced fetal movements in line with national guidance and mitigate against poor outcomes for women and babies							
	How?	Who?	When?					
Monitoring	Manual audit to be undertaken in 3 months (October21) and then ongoing monthly review including via the Maternity Dashboard when it is operational	Fetal Wellbeing Midwife and Obstetric Leads reported through Womens Health Governance meetings	Manual audit - by Q3 2021/22 ongoing monthly review, inclu via Dashboard following syste development work (date to be agreed but already requested supplier)	ding em				

Action plan 3							
Safety action	Q9 Safety Champions	To be met by	Q1 2021/2	2			
Work to meet action	Unable to satisfactorily evidence Safe meetings can be evidenced via Outloo						
Does this action plan have executive	level sign off	Yes	Action plan agreed by head of r	nidwifery/clinical director?	Yes		
Action plan owner	Board Safety Champion						
Lead executive director	Board Safety Champion/ Chief Medica	al Officer					
Amount requested from the incentive	fund, if required				£0.00		
Reason for not meeting action	Unable to provide assurance that Jan that no longer is utilised for this purpo this taking place and the Safety Chan	se and in February a floor	walk was undertaken by the Safet				
Rationale	As of March 2020 all Safety Champio a concern/update is discussed. A PDI report to Board.						
Benefits	The enhanced process provides assu	rance that floor to board e	scalation and action is taken.				
Risk assessment	Unable to provide assurance that floor to board escalation and action is taken in respect of staff and service user safety concerns.						
	How?	Who?	When?				
Monitoring	Assurance to Board of Directors via Board Level Safety Champion that evidence of a safety dashboard/repository discussion is undertaken bimonthly and reflected in Board minutes	Board Safety Champion	Q1 2021/22				

Action plan 4						
Safety action		To be met by				
Work to meet action	Brief description of the work planned	to meet the required progre	9ss.			
Does this action plan have executive	level sign off		Action plan agreed	by head of midw	rifery/clinical director?	
Action plan owner	Who is responsible for delivering the	action plan?				
Lead executive director	Does the action plan have executive	sponsorship?				
Amount requested from the incentive	fund, if required					
Reason for not meeting action	Please explain why the trust did not n	neet this safety action				
Rationale	Please explain why this action plan w	ill ensure the trust meets th	ne safety action.			
Benefits	Please summarise the key benefits the action. Please ensure these are SMA		action plan and how	these will deliver t	the required progress again	nst the safety
Risk assessment	What are the risks of not meeting the	safety action?				
Monitoring	How?	Who?	Wher	1?		

Action plan 5						
Safety action		To be met by				
Work to meet action	Brief description of the work planned t	o meet the required progre	PSS.			
Does this action plan have executive	level sign off		Action plan agreed	by head of midw	rifery/clinical director?	
Action plan owner	Who is responsible for delivering the a	action plan?				
Lead executive director	Does the action plan have executive s	sponsorship?				
Amount requested from the incentive	fund, if required					
Reason for not meeting action	Please explain why the trust did not m	eet this safety action				
Rationale	Please explain why this action plan wi	II ensure the trust meets th	e safety action.			
Benefits	Please summarise the key benefits the action. Please ensure these are SMA		action plan and how	these will deliver t	the required progress again	nst the safety
Risk assessment	What are the risks of not meeting the	safety action?				
Monitoring	How?	Who?	Wher	1?		

Action plan 6						
Safety action		To be met by				
Work to meet action	Brief description of the work planned to	o meet the required progre	PSS.			
Does this action plan have executive	level sign off		Action plan agreed	by head of midw	ifery/clinical director?	
Action plan owner	Who is responsible for delivering the a	nction plan?				
Lead executive director	Does the action plan have executive s	ponsorship?				
Amount requested from the incentive	fund, if required					
Reason for not meeting action	Please explain why the trust did not m	eet this safety action				
Rationale	Please explain why this action plan wi	ll ensure the trust meets th	e safety action.			
Benefits	Please summarise the key benefits the action. Please ensure these are SMAR		action plan and how t	these will deliver to	he required progress agai	nst the safety
Risk assessment	What are the risks of not meeting the	safety action?				
		NATI - 0	16.5			
Monitoring	How?	Who?	When	7		

Action plan 7						
Safety action		To be met by				
Work to meet action	Brief description of the work planned	to meet the required progre	988.			
Does this action plan have executive	level sign off		Action plan agreed by	head of midwifery/clinica	Il director?	
Action plan owner	Who is responsible for delivering the	action plan?				
Lead executive director	Does the action plan have executive	sponsorship?				
Amount requested from the incentive	fund, if required					
Reason for not meeting action	Please explain why the trust did not re	neet this safety action				
Rationale	Please explain why this action plan w	ill ensure the trust meets th	ne safety action.			
Benefits	Please summarise the key benefits the action. Please ensure these are SMA		action plan and how thes	se will deliver the required p	progress against	the safety
Risk assessment	What are the risks of not meeting the	safety action?				
Monitoring	How?	Who?	When?			

Action plan 8					
Safety action		To be met by			
Work to meet action	Brief description of the work planned	to meet the required progre	ess.		
Does this action plan have executive	level sign off		Action plan agreed by he	ead of midwifery/clinical director?	
Action plan owner	Who is responsible for delivering the	action plan?			
Lead executive director	Does the action plan have executive	sponsorship?			
Amount requested from the incentive	fund, if required				
Reason for not meeting action	Please explain why the trust did not re	neet this safety action			
Rationale	Please explain why this action plan w	ill ensure the trust meets th	e safety action.		
Benefits	Please summarise the key benefits the action. Please ensure these are SMA		action plan and how these	will deliver the required progress aga	inst the safety
Risk assessment	What are the risks of not meeting the	safety action?			
Monitoring	How?	Who?	When?		
ino into ing					

Action plan 9				
Safety action		To be met by		
Work to meet action	Brief description of the work planned to	o meet the required progres	S.	
Does this action plan have executive	level sign off	A	ction plan agreed by head of mic	dwifery/clinical director?
Action plan owner	Who is responsible for delivering the a	ection plan?		
Lead executive director	Does the action plan have executive s	ponsorship?		
Amount requested from the incentive	fund, if required			
Reason for not meeting action	Please explain why the trust did not m	eet this safety action		
Rationale	Please explain why this action plan will	ll ensure the trust meets the	safety action.	
Benefits	Please summarise the key benefits the action. Please ensure these are SMAR		ction plan and how these will delive	r the required progress against the safety
Risk assessment	What are the risks of not meeting the s	safety action?		
[aa	How?	Who?	When?	
Monitoring				

Action plan 10					
Safety action		To be met by			
Work to meet action	Brief description of the work planned	to meet the required progre	ess.		
Does this action plan have executive	level sign off		Action plan agreed by he	ad of midwifery/clinical director?	
Action plan owner	Who is responsible for delivering the	action plan?			
Lead executive director	Does the action plan have executive	sponsorship?			
Amount requested from the incentive	fund, if required				
Reason for not meeting action	Please explain why the trust did not r	meet this safety action			
Rationale	Please explain why this action plan w	vill ensure the trust meets th	e safety action.		
Benefits	Please summarise the key benefits the action. Please ensure these are SMA		action plan and how these	will deliver the required progress agai	inst the safety
Risk assessment	What are the risks of not meeting the	safety action?			
Monitoring	How?	Who?	When?		



Maternity incentive scheme - Board declaration Form

Trust name East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust
Trust code T491

All electronic signatures must also be uploaded. Documents which have not been signed will not be accepted.

	Safety actions	Action plan	Funds requested	Validations
Q1 NPMRT	No	Yes	· -	
Q2 MSDS	Yes		-	
Q3 Transitional care	Yes		-	
Q4 Clinical workforce planning	Yes		-	
Q5 Midwifery workforce planning	Yes		-	
Q6 SBL care bundle	No	Yes	-	
Q7 Patient feedback	Yes		-	
Q8 In-house training	Yes		-	
Q9 Safety Champions	No	Yes	-	
Q10 EN scheme	Yes		-	
	_	•		
Total safety actions	7	3		
Total sum requested			-	

Sign-off process:

Electronic signature

Sase Amy

For and on behalf of the board of

East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust

Confirming that:

The Board are satisfied that the evidence provided to demonstrate compliance with/achievement of the maternity safety actions meets standards as set out in the safety actions and technical guidance document and that the self-certification is accurate.

Electronic signature

Sign Amy

For and on behalf of the board of

East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust

Confirming that:

The content of this form has been discussed with the commissioner(s) of the trust's maternity services

Electronic signature

Sise Line

For and on behalf of the board of

East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust

Confirming that:

There are no reports covering either this year (2020/21) or the previous financial year (2019/20) that relate to the provision of maternity services that may subsequently provide conflicting information to your declaration. Any such reports should be brought to the MIS team's attention.

Electronic signature

A letter was sent from Susan Acott, CEO to Helen Vernon, CEO at NHS Resolution via email on 28th June 2021 outlining relevant reports into our maternity services.

ioon onio oignaturo

East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust

For and on behalf of the board of

Confirming that:

If applicable, the Board agrees that any reimbursement of maternity incentive scheme funds will be used to deliver the action(s) referred to in Section B (Action plan entry sheet)

We expect trust Boards to self-certify the trust's declarations following consideration of the evidence provided. Where subsequent verification checks demonstrate an incorrect declaration has been made, this may indicate a failure of board governance which the

 Name:
 Susan Acott

 Position:
 Chief Executive

 Date:
 22/07/2021